

## Clarification on Pela & Sutton (2021)

It has come to our attention that there has been confusion regarding Table 3 of the article by Pela and Sutton (2021). Specifically, one version of the article contains some numeric values that are different than another version. We have looked into this matter and have determined the following likely scenario for how this unfortunate inaccuracy occurred.

Prior to the study's publication in September of 2021, we discovered in a final proof that Table 3 contained the same mean and standard deviation for the 12-, 18-, and 24-month follow up statistics for both same-sex attraction experience and opposite-sex attraction experience. Realizing that the likelihood of such exact similarity would be exceedingly rare, we consulted with Dr. Pela and she found that, indeed, there had been a copy-and-paste error in her submission and she provided us with the corrected Table 3. This table was in the originally published version of the study, which came out in September of 2021.

Subsequent to this publication, some formatting changes were done on the article that had nothing to do with adjusting any of the statistical results, but apparently this occurred on the older, uncorrected version of the study, which then was linked to from our web site. This escaped our awareness until being recently brought to our attention. We have now reinstated links to the correct version of the study with an accurate Table 3. It is also possible that the inaccurate version of the study is circulating on the Internet from other sources.

We sincerely apologize for any confusion this may have caused or any perception that the journal was not acting with complete scientific integrity, which is very important to us. We also want to thank the multiple sources who noticed the discrepancy and brought it to our attention.

We are highly cognizant of how essential it is for the science surrounding sexual attraction fluidity exploration in therapy to be above reproach. At a time when an emerging literature is challenging and refining conventional narratives regarding change-exploring therapies (Rosik et al., 2021, 2022; Sullins, 2021, 2022; Sullins et al., 2021), we take seriously our responsibility to provide readers with reliable information they can trust.

The Editors

### References

- Pela, C., & Sutton, P. (2021). Sexual attraction fluidity and well-being in men: A therapeutic outcome study. *Journal of Human Sexuality, 12*, 61-86.
- Rosik, C. H., Lefevor, T., & Beckstead, A. L. (2021). The pursuit of change and acceptance of minority sexual orientation in psychotherapy: Retrospective perceptions of helpfulness and harmfulness. *Journal of Psychology and Christianity, 40*(3), 185-203.
- Rosik, C. H., Lefevor, T., & Beckstead, A. L. (2022). Sexual minorities responding to sexual orientation distress: Examining 33 methods and the effects of sexual identity labeling and theological viewpoint. *Spirituality in Clinical Practice*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1037/scp0000295>
- Sullins, D. P. (2021). *Sexual Orientation Change Efforts (SOCE) reduces suicide: Correcting a false research narrative*. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3729353> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3729353>
- Sullins, P. (2022). Absence of behavioral harm following non-efficacious sexual orientation change efforts: A retrospective study of United States sexual minority adults, 2016–2018. *Frontiers of Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.823647>
- Sullins, D. P., Rosik, C. H., & Santero, P. (2021). Efficacy and risk of sexual orientation change efforts: a retrospective analysis of 125 men [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *F1000 Research, 10*: 222, 1-20 <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.51209.2>